

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,922	07/17/2003	Philippe J. Goix	A-71452/AJT	2778
7590 12/16/2005			EXAMINER	
Aldo J. Test			PHAM, HOA Q	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Suite 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4 Embarcadero Center			2877	
San Francisco,	CA 94111		DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1/			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
Office Action Summary		10/622,922	GOIX ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hoa Q. Pham	2877				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	-			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. A reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 17 July 2003 is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)⊠ obje	ected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		n received in this National Stage	е			
	application from the International Bureau						
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachmer	nt(e)						
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/03 and 11/03</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/622,922 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Drawings**

1. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the present drawings are in handwriting. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claim 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claim 1, lines 1-2 and 4-5, is inconsistent because in lines 1-2, the claim recites "measure of the volume of cells or particles", while in lines 4-5, the claim recites "measuring the volume of the liquid".
  - b. Claim 2 is dependent from claim 1; therefore, inherit the deficiency of claim 1.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/622,922

Art Unit: 2877

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Berndt (6,633,368).

Regarding claim 1, Berndt discloses a method for measuring the volume of individual red blood cells (12) or other particles that are suspended in a liquid (11) comprises steps of suspending the cells or particles (12) in a liquid medium (4,11) and optically measuring the volume of the liquid that is displaced by the cells or particles as they travel through a measuring volume (20) by the use of XYZ stage (5) (see column 6, line 64 through column 7, line 12; figures 2, 3; and abstract).

Regarding claims 2 and 4, see abstract for the use of fluorescent dye.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3, 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berndt in view of Legorreta-Sanchez (3,675,768) (hereinafter Sanchez).

Regarding claims 3, 5, and 7, Berndt does not explicitly teach that the liquid medium is flowed through a measuring volume; however, such a feature is known in the

art as taught by Sanchez. Sanchez, from the same field of endeavor, teaches the use of two valves (43, 44) for flowing the liquid medium through a measuring medium (figure 1 and column 9, lines 24-34). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the cuvette and stage (5) of Berndt by a flowing medium system as taught by Sanchez because they are function in the same manner. A substitution one for another is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 6; column 7 line 4 of Berndt teaches that the particles suspended in liquids is beads or other particles.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Berndt (6,633,369; 6,714,287; 6,717,657 and 6,359,683) teach an apparatus and method for measuring the volume of individual red blood cells and Moreaud et al (4,348,112) discloses a method for measuring the volume of material in suspension in a liquid.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2426. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM to 6 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 77. The fax

Art Unit: 2877

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ada Q. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

HP

December 12, 2005